

M.D. of Peace No.135
NUCLEAR POWER QUESTIONNAIRE RESPONSES

Summary Of All 5 Divisions

Total Number of Surveys Mailed Out 1155

Total Number of Surveys Returned to Office (including late ones) 377 32.64% response

		# of Responses	# of No Response to Question:	
1. Are you presently:	- Supportive of the facility	<u>91</u>		
	- Opposed to the facility	<u>213</u>		
	- Undecided regarding the facility	<u>65</u>	<u>6</u>	
	- Do not care about the facility	<u>2</u>		
2. Do you require more information?	Yes	<u>161</u>	<u>12</u>	
	No	<u>204</u>		
3. Most beneficial forms of information:	- Information presentations by knowledgeable speakers	<u>124</u>	<u>166</u>	
	- Open houses	<u>64</u>		
	- Formal debate between proponent and opposed	<u>93</u>		
	- Access to informational material and/or DVD	<u>110</u>		
	- List of websites and/or contacts	<u>83</u>		
4. Should M.D. be responsible for hosting meetings?	Yes	<u>220</u>	<u>28</u>	
	No	<u>129</u>		
5. Would the following statements apply if the facility located in region?	1. Increased financial stability	Yes	<u>162</u>	<u>57</u>
		No	<u>160</u>	
	2. Increased average personal incomes	Yes	<u>132</u>	<u>69</u>
		No	<u>177</u>	
	3. Increased real estate values	Yes	<u>163</u>	<u>53</u>
		No	<u>166</u>	
	4. Increased population base	Yes	<u>270</u>	<u>50</u>
		No	<u>57</u>	
	5. More services (retail/professional)	Yes	<u>200</u>	<u>58</u>
		No	<u>120</u>	
	6. More cultural/recreational facilities	Yes	<u>150</u>	<u>65</u>
		No	<u>162</u>	

	#1 most significant	#2	#3	#4	#5	#6	#7 least significant
6. Most significant concerns:							
Number of responses:							
- proposed location	<u>105</u>	<u>42</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>41</u>
- health related	<u>139</u>	<u>56</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>33</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>
- safety	<u>98</u>	<u>44</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>57</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>16</u>
- security	<u>57</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>52</u>	<u>88</u>	<u>54</u>
- environmental	<u>114</u>	<u>51</u>	<u>64</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>13</u>
- social	<u>54</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>134</u>
- aquifer	<u>194</u>	<u>70</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>29</u>
							# of No Response to Question <u>11</u>

		# of Responses	# of No Response to Question
7. Does the MD have the power to influence the decision as to whether the facility proceeds?	Yes	<u>128</u>	
	No	<u>71</u>	<u>6</u>
	Some Influence	<u>172</u>	
8. Should Prov. Government develop an energy plan?	Yes	<u>316</u>	
	No	<u>23</u>	<u>12</u>
	Undecided	<u>26</u>	
9. Should nuclear energy be part of the Prov. energy mix?	Yes	<u>130</u>	
	No	<u>188</u>	<u>10</u>
	Undecided	<u>49</u>	